UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/506,721	01/24/2005	Richard Martin	EXS-402US	5175
63572 7590 07/31/2009 MCDONNELL BOEHNEN HULBERT @ BERGHOFF LLP 300 SOUTH WACKER DRIVE			EXAMINER	
			TRUONG, TAMTHOM NGO	
	SUITE 3100 CHICAGO, IL 60606		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1624	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/31/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/506,721	MARTIN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	TAMTHOM N. TRUONG	1624			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN THE MORE AND A STATE OF THE MORE AND A STATE	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 A</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under <u>E</u>	action is non-final.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) See Continuation Sheet is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) 15-21,56,58,62,64,69 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 3-7, 10, 11, 28, 30, 31, 33, 34, 36, 37 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	9 <u>,71 and 84-98</u> is/are withdrawn f <u>7, 39, 50, 99, 100 and 101</u> is/are re				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See cion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)	4) 🗖 Imateur 😘 🙃 😁	(PTO 442)			
 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte			

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims pending in the application are 3-7,10,11,15-21,28,30,31,33,34,36,37,39,50,56,58,62,64,69,71 and 84-101.

NON-FINAL ACTION

Applicant's amendment of 4-8-09 has been fully considered. The amended claims have overcome the previous 102 rejection by deleting "-NR²⁴COR²⁶" from the definition of R^{5a}. Thus, said rejection is now withdrawn. However, the generic teaching of **Baxter et. al.** (US 6,545,005 B1) still render obvious the scope of formula III. Thus, a new ground of rejection is presented herein.

Claims 1, 2, 8, 9, 12-14, 22-27, 29, 32, 35, 38, 40-49, 51-55, 57, 59-61, 63, 65-68, 70, 72-83, and 102-105 are cancelled.

Claims 15-21, 56, 58, 62, 64, 69, 71, and 84-98 are withdrawn as being drawn to non-elected subject matter.

Claims 3-7, 10, 11, 15-21, 28, 30, 31, 33, 34, 36, 37, 39, 50, 56, 58, 62, 64, 69, 71, 99, 100, and 101 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, Second Paragraph

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

1. Claim 5 recites species that are outside the scope of formula III of claim 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/506,721 Page 3

Art Unit: 1624

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 2. Claims 3-7, 10, 11, 28, 30, 31, 33, 34, 36, 37, 39, 50, 99, 100 and 101 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Baxter et. al.** (US'005 B1). Although claim 3 has been amended to exclude compound #14, the generic teaching of formula II still encompasses the instant formula III when the disclosed formula II (in column 31) has the following substituents:
 - i. R_1 is an aryl group;
 - ii. R₂ is an alkyl or aryl group;
 - iii. L is absent or $-(CH_2)_nNR_2(CH_2)_p$ -;

Application/Control Number: 10/506,721 Page 4

Art Unit: 1624

iv. n = 0; p = 0;

v. X is a direct bond;

vi. Y is $-S(O_2)$ -; Z is a direct bond;

vii. W is substituted or unsubstituted aryl fused to the pyrimidone ring.

Although the reference does not disclose additional species of a sulfonamide substituent at the 2-position, the subgenus formula II in column 31 provides equivalency teaching for one skilled in the art to select compounds of the instant formula III to agonize or antagonize hedgehog pathway.

In view of the recent ruling in KSR, the court determines obviousness based on what a skilled artisan would have known at the time of the invention, and on what such a person would have reasonably expected to have been able to do in view of that knowledge (*KSR*, 82 *USPQ 2d*, 1385). In other words, the decision in KSR forecloses the argument that a **specific** teaching, suggestion, or motivation is required to support a finding of obviousness.

Thus, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to make and use compounds of the instant formula III in view of the teaching above.

3. **Non-elected subject matter:** This application contains claims 15-21, 56, 58, 62, 64, 69, 71, and 84-98 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in the reply filed on 8-6-08. A complete reply to this rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Application/Control Number: 10/506,721 Page 5

Art Unit: 1624

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TAMTHOM N. TRUONG whose telephone number is (571)272-0676. The examiner can normally be reached on M, T and Th (9:00-5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James O. Wilson can be reached on 571-272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Tamthom N. Truong/ Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1624 /James O. Wilson/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1624

6-22-09